1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 5 ROBIN L. WHITE. 6 Petitioner. CASE NO. C06-1476-JLR-MJB 7 v. 8 STATE OF WASHINGTON, REPORT & RECOMMENDATION 9 Respondent. 10 Petitioner is a state prisoner who has filed a pro se petition for a writ habeas corpus pursuant 11 to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has also filed an application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. 12 13 ("IFP application") (Dkt. #1). Respondent has not been served with a copy of the petition. 14 In screening the petition and IFP application, the court has become aware that petitioner 15 currently has a personal restraint petition pending with the Washington Court of Appeals. See White v. Washington, Case No. 58790-1 (Personal restraint petition filed September 8, 2006). Thus, it 16 17 appears that petitioner has failed to exhaust his state remedies, which is a prerequisite to the filing of a habeas petition. See 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b). In addition, a federal court should not entertain a 18 19 collateral attack on a conviction while other remedies are pending because to do so would thwart 20 judicial economy. See United States v. Deeb, 944 F.2d 545, 548 (9th Cir. 1991). Thus, the court 21 concludes that the instant habeas petition is premature and should be dismissed without prejudice. A 22 proposed Order accompanies this Report and Recommendation. 23 DATED this 23rdday of October, 2006. 24 25 United States Magistrate Judge 26